

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/054,147 | 01/21/2002 | Nobuhiro Itoh | 2271/66652 | 5134 | |
| 7590 06/12/2007 RICHARD F. JAWORSKI | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Cooper & Dunl | Cooper & Dunham LLP | | | WORKU, NEGUSSIE | |
| 1185 Avenue o New York, NY | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 11011 1011,111 | 10050 | | 2625 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/12/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/054,147 ITOH, NOBUHIRO Interview Summary **Examiner** Art Unit Negussie Worku 2625 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Negussie Worku. (3)_____. (4)_____ (2) Poul Teng. Date of Interview: 04 June 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: 5465163 and 6148118. Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) was reached. f(x) was not reached. f(x) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Attorney and Examiner have reviwed the climed invention in light of the last final Office action, and also the differences between the prior art and the claimed aplication have been discussed. Therefore, The application will be more closely reviewed, and a further search would be conducted upon aplicant's written response (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/054,147 | ITOH, NOBUHIRO | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Negussie Worku | 2625 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Negussie Worku. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Poul Teng</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>04 June 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>5465163 and 6148118</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Attorney and Examiner have reviwed the climed invention in light of the last final Office action, and also the differences between the prior art and the claimed aplication have been discussed. Therefore, The application will be more closely reviewed, and a further search would be conducted upon aplicant's written response</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | • | | | | | |
| • | | • | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required